UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,745	08/22/2005	Colin Maurice Casimir	20050022.ORI	3261	
23595 NIKOLAL& N	7590 09/10/2007		EXAMINER		
	NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			SHEN, WU CHENG WINSTON	
SUITE 820 MINNEAPOL	IS MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WIII VIVEZ II OD	JEIG, NII		1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/520,745	CASIMIR, COLIN MAURICE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Wu-Cheng Winston Shen	1632	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Not this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The respective for the condition of the compliance of the same day as filing a Notice of the same day as filing a No	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	•
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date : 	set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WITWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee eply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 n	nust be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	a brief will not be entered because
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see the consideration and/or search).	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	333 113 12 33.611,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se non-allowable claim(s). 	parate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>43-48 and 50-56</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 49 and 57-67.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fil because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but price entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier present.	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims	` ' ' '
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· and only to bolow or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application See Continuation Sheet.	cation in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
	/Valarie Bertoglio, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner
	AU 1632

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 43 has been amended to to change the scope of the invention in terms of the characteristics of the viral particles being an enveloped viral particles, and the "passenger peptide" being other than one derived from virus or said packaging cell. The proposed amendments of claims 43 render the claims more narrow in scope and raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the scope of enablement rejection of claims 43-48 and 50-56 under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph because Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.

Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claims 43-48 and 50-56 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph because because Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments that further defines a passanegr peptide, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.

Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claims 43-46 and 54-56 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soong et al. because Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record:

Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claims 43, 48, 50, and 51 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soong et al. taken with Dropulic et al. because Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.

Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claims 43, 48, 52, and 53 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soong et al. taken with Guber et al. because Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.

Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claims 43 and 47 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soong et al. taken with Yajima et al. because Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.